LME Alternative Service Request for Use of DMHDDSAS State Funds

For Proposed MH/DD/SAS Service Not Included in Approved Statewide IPRS Service Array

Note: Submit completed request form electronically to Wanda Mitchell, Budget and Finance Team, at Wanda.Mitchell@ncmail.net, and to Spencer Clark, Chief's Office, Community Policy Management Section, at Spencer.Clark@ncmail.net. Questions about completing and submitting this form may be addressed to Brenda G. Davis, CPM Chief's Office, at Brenda.G.Davis@ncmail.net or (919) 733-4670, or to Spencer Clark at Spencer.Clark@ncmail.net or (919) 733-4670.

a. Name of LME OPC Area Program		b. Date Submitted 7-15-09
c. Name of Proposed LME Alternative Service		
Assertive Engagement: A Statewide Alt Service Defin	nition as of Jan 2011 - YA356	
d. Type of Funds and Effective Date(s): (Check All that Apply)		
☐ State Funds: Effective 7-01-08 to 6-30-09 ☐ State Funds: Effective 7-01-09 to 6-30-10		
e. Submitted by LME Staff (Name & Title)	f. E-Mail	g. Phone No.
Lisa Lackmann, System of Care Coordinator	<u>llackmann@opc-mhc.org</u>	919-913-4011
Tom Velivil, Service System Manager/Addictions Specialist	tvelivil@opc-mhc.org	919-9`3-4014

Background and Instructions:

This form has been developed to permit LMEs to request the establishment in IPRS of Alternative Services to be used to track state funds though a fee-for-service tracking mechanism. An LME that receives state single stream or other state non-UCR funding shall use such funding to purchase or start up services included in the Integrated Payment and Reporting System (IPRS) service array and directed towards the approved IPRS target population(s). If the LME wishes to propose the use of state funds for the provision of an Alternative Service that is not included in the IPRS service array, the LME shall submit an *LME Alternative Service Request for Use of DMHDDSAS State Funds*.

This form shall be completed to fully describe the proposed Alternative Service for which Division approval is requested in order to develop an IPRS reporting code and an appropriate rate for the Alternative Service.

Please use the following template to describe the LME's proposed Alternative Service definition and address all related issues using the standard format and content categories that have been adopted for new MH/DD/SA Services.

Please note that:

- an individual LME Alternative Service Request form is required to be completed for <u>each</u> proposed Alternative Service;
- a separate Request for Waiver is required to be submitted to the Division for the LME to be authorized by the Secretary to <u>directly</u> provide an approved Alternative Service; and
- the current form is <u>not</u> intended to be utilized in SFY 08-09 for the reporting on the use of county funds by an LME. The Division continues to work with the County Funds Workgroup to establish a mechanism to

track and report on the use of county funds through IPRS reporting effective July 1, 2009. **Requirements for Proposed LME Alternative Service** (Items in italics are provided below as examples of the types of information to be considered in responding to questions while following the regular Enhanced Benefit Service definition format. Rows may be expanded as necessary to fully respond to questions.) Complete items 1 though 28, as appropriate, for all requests. Alternative Service Name, Service Definition and Required Components 1 Assertive Engagement Assertive Engagement is a way of working with adults and/or children/adolescents who have severe or serious mental illness or substance abuse disorder and who do not effectively engage with treatment services. Assertive engagement is a critical element of the rehabilitation and recovery model as it allows flexibility to meet the consumers' particular needs in their own environment or current location (i.e. hospital, jail, community, etc.). It is designed as a short-term engagement service targeted to address specific consumer circumstances that prevent the individual from fully participating in needed care for an MH/DD/SAS problem. 2 Rationale for proposed adoption of LME Alternative Service to address issues that cannot be adequately addressed within the current IPRS Service Array The Orange Person and Chatham (OPC) Local Management Entity (LME) experiences a high volume of referrals from inpatient providers and judicial system, many of whom are difficult to engage in traditional services. This situation is also common to higher intensity outpatient treatment, when a consumer may call requesting a service but does not follow-through with treatment. There is currently no service in the IPRS service array that permits billing and payment for providers who must work to build relationships in a variety of settings, including jails, inpatient facilities, and in the community. The most comparable service, Assertive Outreach, is intended for homeless individuals only, and is an attempt to engage individuals until the case is formally opened. OPC LME finds a need to fund providers to work with difficult cases to promote treatment engagement and retention as a way of reducing the need for crisis services and stopping the cycle of readmission to higher levels of care. Description of service need(s) to be addressed exclusively through State funds for which 3 Medicaid funding cannot be appropriately accessed through a current Medicaid approved service definition Assertive engagement is a method of working with adults and/or children/adolescents who have a severe or serious mental illness or substance abuse disorder and have difficulty engaging in traditional services. Assertive Engagement is targeted towards those adults and/or children/adolescents with a severe or serious mental illness or substance abuse disorder with impaired functioning who have a history of erratic or non-engagement in treatment, have a history of erratic or non-compliance with medication resulting in symptom manifestation and/or relapse or have a history of frequent hospitalizations, jail/detention days or involvement with law enforcement. Currently, Medicaid does not allow billable services in while consumers are in hospitals or jail/detention. Due to high recidivism, it is necessary for providers to engage these youth and encourage participation in treatment/discharge planning for high risk individuals. Please indicate the LME's Consumer and Family Advisory Committee (CFAC) review and 4 recommendation of the proposed LME Alternative Service: (Check one)

	⊠ Recomme	ends Does Not Recommend Neutral (No CFAC Opinion)	
5	Projected Annual No Alternative Service	umber of Persons to be Served with State Funds by LME through this	
		O Criminal justice involved children/adolescents + 120 non-criminal justice	
	involved children/ado	lescents)	
9	Estimated Annual Amount of State Funds to be Expended by LME for this Alternative Service We cannot predict the actual amount that will be used for this service. However, we are planning to set aside \$60,000 for adult services \$43,200 for children/adolescent services. Of the \$40,000 of children/adolescent funds, we are planning to use \$28,800.00 for the children/adolescents who are involved with the justice system and \$14,400.00 for non-judicial system involved children/adolescents. OPC LME is planning to serve 20 children/adolescents per month with a minimum of two (2) hours or eight (8) units of Assertive Engagement at a rate of \$15.00 per unit for consumers who are involved with criminal justice system. We are planning to provide 1,920 units of Assertive engagement services for children/adolescents. We are also planning to serve 120 non-judicial system involved children/adolescents with a minimum of two (2) hours or eight (8) units. OPC is also planning to serve 500 adults with a minimum of 2 (hours) or eight (8) units of assertive engagement at a rate of \$15.00 per unit. OPC is planning to provide 4,000 units of assertive Engagement services for adults.		
		lers of best practice or high intensity services will be selected to utilize the ta will be gathered in the first year.	
7	Eligible IPRS Target	Population(s) for Alternative Service: (Check all that apply)	
	Assessment Only:	⊠AII □CMAO □AMAO □CDAO □ADAO □CSAO □ASAO	
	Crisis Services:	⊠AII □CMCS □AMCS □CDCS □ADCS □CSCS □ASCS	
	Child MH:	□AII ☑CMSED ☑CMMED □CMDEF □CMPAT □CMECD	
	Adult MH:	□AII ⊠AMSPM ⊠AMSMI □AMDEF □AMPAT □AMSRE	
	Child DD:	CDSN	
	Adult DD:	□AII □ADSN □ADMRI	
	Child SA:	☐ CSSAD ☐ CSMAJ ☐ CSWOM ☐ CSCJO ☐ CSDWI ☐ CSIP ☐ CSSP	
	Adult SA:	□AII ⊠ASCDR □ASHMT ⊠ASWOM ⊠ASDSS ⊠ASCJO □ASDWI □ASDHH ⊠ASHOM ⊠ASTER	
	Comm. Enhance.:	□AII □CMCEP □AMCEP □CDCEP □ADCEP □ASCEP □CSCEP	
	Non-Client:	□ CDF	
8	Definition of Reimb	ursable Unit of Service: (Check one)	
	☐ Service Event	⊠15 Minutes ☐ Hourly ☐ Daily ☐ Monthly	
	☐ Other: Explain_		
9	Proposed IPRS Ave	rage Unit Rate for LME Alternative Service	

	Since this proposed unit rate is for Division funds, the LME can have different rates for the same service within different providers. What is the proposed average IPRS Unit Rate for which the LME proposes to reimburse the provider(s) for this service? 15.00
40	•
10	Explanation of LME Methodology for Determination of Proposed IPRS Average Unit Rate for Service (Provide attachment as necessary) To determine the rate for this service, we took the average per unit cost of community support and assertive outreach and decreased it by 15%. We feel that this new service encompasses components of both Community Support and Assertive Outreach. The average rate is applicable to meet this need.
11	Provider Organization Requirements Assertive Engagement services must be delivered by clinicians employed by mental health or substance abuse provider organizations that
	 meet the provider qualification policies, procedures, and standards established by the Division of Medical Assistance (DMA);
	 meet the provider qualification policies, procedures, and standards established by the Division of Mental Health, Developmental Disabilities, and Substance Abuse Services (MH/DD/SAS); and
	fulfill the requirements of 10A NCAC 27G.
12	Staffing Requirements by Age/Disability
13	This service can be provided by licensed clinicians, only. Program and Staff Supervision Requirements
13	Provisionally licensed staff must be supervised by a fully licensed clinician.
14	Requisite Staff Training Motivational Enhancement or Motivational Interviewing training must be completed through trainings offered by the LME or clinician must be able to demonstrate/present previous training and knowledge in the practice.
15	Service Type/Setting
	Assertive Engagement is intended to be flexible in its approach to meet the needs of adults and/or children in their own setting or current location. This service can be delivered as part of the discharge planning process from state operated facilities and correctional facilities as well as in association with specific best and evidence based practices identified by the LME. • Excluded service location(s)
	Must provide service outside of office setting
16	Program Requirements
	Assertive Engagement is designed to be a one-time event to build/re-establish a trusting, meaningful relationship to engage or reengage the individual into services and/or assess for needs. The service is designed to: • Develop and maintain meaningful engagement in services • Reduce hospitalization frequency and duration • Provide continuity of care regardless of life circumstances or recovery environment
	Improve compliance with medication

Increase social networks and improve family relationships Prevent relapse • Link to appropriate level of service 17 **Entrance Criteria** Adults and/or children/adolescents consumers are eligible for this service with a documented severe or serious mental illness or substance abuse disorder who have history of erratic or nonengagement in treatment, have a history of erratic or non-compliance with medication resulting in symptom manifestation and/or relapse or have a history of frequent hospitalizations, jail/detention days or involvement with law enforcement. They must have experienced a significant therapeutic disconnect with the service provider or have hospitalizations, iail days, or involvement with law enforcement, or be identified as in need of active engagement strategies to improve retention in best or evidence-based practices identified by OPC. 18 **Entrance Process** Determined eligible for step down from state institutions or correctional facilities or had a significant therapeutic disconnect in relationship with service provider or in need of engagement in best or evidence-based services in order to reduce potentially serious risk factors. Prior approval required. Selected providers offering high intensity or best practice services may be able to utilize the service as one strategy to engage and retain consumers, prevent the repeated use of hospital or other crisis service, and reduce jail utilization. OPC will develop a benefit plan outlining the amount and intensity of the service which may be provided based on individual consumer need and available funding. 19 **Continued Stay Criteria** N/A; This is a short-term engagement service and not designed as a long term method of service delivery. 20 **Discharge Criteria** • Consumer is fully engaged in services Consumer has refused recommended services 21 **Evaluation of Consumer Outcomes and Perception of Care** Describe how outcomes for this service will be evaluated and reported including planned utilization of and findings from NC-TOPPS, the MH/SA Consumer (Satisfaction) Surveys, the National Core Indicators Surveys, and/or other LME outcomes and perception of care tools for evaluation of the Alternative Service • Relate emphasis on functional outcomes in the recipient's Person Centered Plan Since this is a very short term service, standard outcome measurement instruments such as NC TOPPS, MH/SA Consumer Satisfaction or NCI surveys would not be applicable. Consumer outcomes: When medically necessary, consumers will re-engage with provider agency or engage with a new provider agency Consumers' state hospital admissions will be reduced Consumers' state hospital bed utilization will be reduced Consumers' admissions to crisis evaluation and observation services will be reduced Consumers' admissions to facility based crisis services will be reduced Consumers' rate of incarceration will be reduced

22	Service Documentation Requirements
	Is this a service that can be tracked on the basis of the individual consumer's receipt of services that are documented in an individual consumer record?
	⊠ Yes ☐ No If "No", please explain.
	Minimum standard for frequency of note, i.e. per event, daily, weekly, monthly, etc. Minimum standard is a daily service note that includes the consumer's name, date of service, purpose of contact, duration of contact and the signature and credentials of the person providing the service
23	Service Exclusions No other services can be billed on the same day as Assertive Engagement.
24	Service Limitations Not to exceed 2 hours. Prior approval required
25	Evidence-Based Support and Cost Efficiency of Proposed Alternative Service
	Assertive Engagement is a central component in a comprehensive continuum of community based services. Research has shown a • 35% decrease in hospitalization • 62% reduction in number of days in hospital • Significant improvement in coping skills and quality of life • Fewer interactions with police www.scmh.org.uk
26	LME Fidelity Monitoring and Quality Management Protocols for Review of Efficacy and Cost-Effectiveness of Alternative Service
	System Level (across consumer served through this proposed alternative service definition): • State hospital admissions will be reduced • State hospital bed utilization will be reduced • Recidivism rates for crisis evaluation and observation services will be reduced • Recidivism rates for facility-based crisis services will be reduced • Incarceration rate will be reduced • Retention in therapeutic services
27	LME Additional Explanatory Detail (as needed) N/A